From: Sablad, Elizabeth

To: <u>Denton, Debra; Smith, DavidW</u>

Cc: Reed, Charles@Waterboards; Stuber, Robyn

Subject: RE: Withdrawal of Approval of the SWRCB Alternative Test Procedure for the Two-concentration Test Design for

**NPDES** 

**Date:** Friday, May 22, 2015 9:11:16 AM

I'm free in the afternoon Tuesday. Maybe Charles can conference us in, as I'm teleworking due to the move.

## -Flizabeth

Elizabeth Sablad NPDES Permits Office U.S. EPA Region 9 75 Hawthorne St. (WTR-2-3) San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 972-3044 sablad.elizabeth@epa.gov

From: Denton, Debra

**Sent:** Friday, May 22, 2015 9:10 AM **To:** Smith, DavidW; Sablad, Elizabeth

Cc: Reed, Charles@Waterboards; Stuber, Robyn

Subject: RE: Withdrawal of Approval of the SWRCB Alternative Test Procedure for the Two-

concentration Test Design for NPDES

Hi all.

I suggest that we can conduct a conference call on Tuesday, May 26. I am open all day for this call.

PEACE = Purposefully Express Appreciation and Compassion for Everyone

## Debra

Disclaimer: This message was written with voice activated software. It may contain errors. Some of them might be interesting. Observe the context and the meaning will, hopefully, be obvious.

-----

Debra L. Denton, PhD Environmental Scientist US EPA Region 9 Standards and TMDL Office c/o SWRCB 1001 | Street Sacramento, CA 95814 phone (916) 341-5520 fax (916) 341-5896 From: Smith, DavidW

**Sent:** Friday, May 22, 2015 9:01 AM

To: Sablad, Elizabeth

Cc: Reed, Charles@Waterboards; Stuber, Robyn; Denton, Debra

Subject: RE: Withdrawal of Approval of the SWRCB Alternative Test Procedure for the Two-

concentration Test Design for NPDES

Hi Folks- I am not the expert here at all, so am also forwarding to Debra who might wish to respond. But I don't think the Ocean WET methods are subject to the 136 methods requirements, so I don't think that the requirement to collect 5 concentrations applies for purposes of testing ocean discharges. So I don't think the lawsuit issue is really relevant to Ocean testing or the choice of statistical method used to evaluate ocean test results. But probably best to wait for confirmation from Robyn or Debra. Thanks

David Smith
Manager
NPDES Permits Section (WTR-2-3)
U.S. EPA Region 9
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94602
(415) 972-3464 (office)
(415) 972-947-3545 (fax)

From: Sablad, Elizabeth

**Sent:** Friday, May 22, 2015 8:53 AM

To: Smith, DavidW

**Cc:** Reed, Charles@Waterboards; Stuber, Robyn

**Subject:** FW: Withdrawal of Approval of the SWRCB Alternative Test Procedure for the Two-

concentration Test Design for NPDES

## Dave,

As Robyn is out, do you have more information you could provide Charles? All I have is from the weekly:

"On 5/13, the Federal District Court in Sacramento dismissed a lawsuit against EPA brought by Southern California Alliance of POTWs that challenged EPA's approval of California's request to use a specific alternative testing procedure (ATP) to statistically evaluate whole effluent toxicity test results for wastewater effluent. As EPA withdrew its approval of the State request after the case was filed, the Court found the lawsuit claims moot. Despite the withdrawal of the ATP approval, the state is still able to use this new test evaluation method, which is superior to methods previously used."

-Elizabeth

Elizabeth Sablad NPDES Permits Office U.S. EPA Region 9 75 Hawthorne St. (WTR-2-3) San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 972-3044 sablad.elizabeth@epa.gov

**From:** Reed, Charles@Waterboards [mailto:Charles.Reed@waterboards.ca.gov]

**Sent:** Friday, May 22, 2015 8:21 AM **To:** Sablad, Elizabeth; Stuber, Robyn

Subject: FW: Withdrawal of Approval of the SWRCB Alternative Test Procedure for the Two-

concentration Test Design for NPDES

Robyn and Elizabeth,

I'm curious what this means for California Ocean Plan-based NPDES permits for the west coast where we use the following method for estimating chronic toxicity in effluents:

Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to West Coast Marine and Estuarine Organisms (EPA/600/R-95/136, 1995).

This test method is not identified as one of the three methods requiring multiple concentration tests in Table 1 in the attached document titled, "Memo response to ATP rejection letter from SWRCB 5-12-15.doc."

You can call me to discuss today, if you like.

Charles

From: Lee, Shin-Roei@Waterboards Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2015 5:30 PM

To: Dougherty, Mona@Waterboards; Reed, Charles@Waterboards; Bernard, Lisa@Waterboards;

Goodwin, Cathleen@Waterboards; Smith, Justin <a href="C.@Waterboards">C.@Waterboards</a>

Subject: FW: Withdrawal of Approval of the SWRCB Alternative Test Procedure for the Two-

concentration Test Design for NPDES

FYI.

From: Breuer, Rich@Waterboards Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2015 2:03 PM

To: WB-DIT-DMC

Cc: Messina, Diana@Waterboards; Morris, Cris@Waterboards; Macedo, Julie@Waterboards; Okamoto, Mayumi@Waterboards; Rasmussen, Rik@Waterboards; Anderson-Abbs, Beverley@Waterboards; Bennett, Jarma@Waterboards; Bucknam, Stephanie@Waterboards; Burres, Erick@Waterboards; Davey, Meirve@Waterboards; Heinz, Candice@Waterboards; Maag, Eric@Waterboards; Marshack, Jon@Waterboards; Marshall, Toni@Waterboards; Morris, Melissa@Waterboards; Ogg, Brian@Waterboards; Pathak, Sahil@Waterboards; Petta, Marc@Waterboards; Pham, Kimberly@Waterboards; Salisbury, Jennifer@Waterboards; Spears, Renee@Waterboards; Tadesse,

Dawit@Waterboards; Tang, Michelle@Waterboards; Tappel, Mary@Waterboards; Thao, Mike@Waterboards; Van Dyke, Marisa@Waterboards; Webber, Lori@Waterboards; Yang,

Calvin@Waterboards; Zarghami, Rassam@Waterboards

**Subject:** Withdrawal of Approval of the SWRCB Alternative Test Procedure for the Two-concentration

Test Design for NPDES

Dear Assistant Executive Officers, Assistant Directors, and Managers,

The purpose of the attached memo is to inform you of the February 11, 2015 notice of withdrawal of the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (USEPA) approval of the State Water Resources Control Board's (State Water Board) Alternative Test Procedure (ATP) request. USEPA had approved the request to use the two-concentration test design when using the Test of Significant Toxicity (TST). This memo includes our interpretation of the withdrawal and its ramifications for the Water Boards' permitting process requirements.

The attached documents are relevant where toxicity testing is part of your regulatory programs. Please distribute to the appropriate managers within your organization.

Sincerely,

Rich Breuer

Rich Breuer
Assistant Director, Office of Information Management and Analysis
State Water Resources Control Board
1001 I Street, Room 16-03
Sacramento, California 95814
Desk phone: (916) 341-5220 Cell: (916) 956-9604

Mailing address: P.O. Box 100 Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water\_issues/programs/swamp/